Final Project Output

1. e

The FREQ Procedure

Table of smoker by agegroup

smoker agegroup

Frequency‚

Percent ‚

Row Pct ‚

Col Pct ‚35-44 ‚45-54 ‚55-64 ‚65-74 ‚75+ ‚ Total

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

1 ‚ 40346 ‚ 144317 ‚ 147706 ‚ 88963 ‚ 22878 ‚ 444210

‚ 4.73 ‚ 16.92 ‚ 17.32 ‚ 10.43 ‚ 2.68 ‚ 52.09

‚ 9.08 ‚ 32.49 ‚ 33.25 ‚ 20.03 ‚ 5.15 ‚

‚ 55.69 ‚ 49.55 ‚ 49.53 ‚ 56.13 ‚ 70.60 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

2 ‚ 10136 ‚ 66673 ‚ 83248 ‚ 45084 ‚ 6909 ‚ 212050

‚ 1.19 ‚ 7.82 ‚ 9.76 ‚ 5.29 ‚ 0.81 ‚ 24.87

‚ 4.78 ‚ 31.44 ‚ 39.26 ‚ 21.26 ‚ 3.26 ‚

‚ 13.99 ‚ 22.89 ‚ 27.92 ‚ 28.44 ‚ 21.32 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

3 ‚ 21970 ‚ 80238 ‚ 67250 ‚ 24453 ‚ 2618 ‚ 196529

‚ 2.58 ‚ 9.41 ‚ 7.89 ‚ 2.87 ‚ 0.31 ‚ 23.05

‚ 11.18 ‚ 40.83 ‚ 34.22 ‚ 12.44 ‚ 1.33 ‚

‚ 30.32 ‚ 27.55 ‚ 22.55 ‚ 15.43 ‚ 8.08 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

Total 72452 291228 298204 158500 32405 852789

8.50 34.15 34.97 18.59 3.80 100.00

Statistics for Table of smoker by agegroup

Statistic DF Value Prob

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒ

Chi-Square 8 20943.3638 <.0001

Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square 8 22607.0565 <.0001

Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square 1 8005.4946 <.0001

Phi Coefficient 0.1567

Contingency Coefficient 0.1548

Cramer's V 0.1108

Sample Size = 852789
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The FREQ Procedure

Table of smoker by gender

smoker gender

Frequency‚

Percent ‚

Row Pct ‚

Col Pct ‚ 0‚ 1‚ Total

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

1 ‚ 117455 ‚ 326755 ‚ 444210

‚ 13.77 ‚ 38.32 ‚ 52.09

‚ 26.44 ‚ 73.56 ‚

‚ 33.99 ‚ 64.41 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

2 ‚ 136072 ‚ 75978 ‚ 212050

‚ 15.96 ‚ 8.91 ‚ 24.87

‚ 64.17 ‚ 35.83 ‚

‚ 39.38 ‚ 14.98 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

3 ‚ 91994 ‚ 104535 ‚ 196529

‚ 10.79 ‚ 12.26 ‚ 23.05

‚ 46.81 ‚ 53.19 ‚

‚ 26.62 ‚ 20.61 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

Total 345521 507268 852789

40.52 59.48 100.00

Statistics for Table of smoker by gender

Statistic DF Value Prob

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒ

Chi-Square 2 88969.5846 <.0001

Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square 2 89836.2510 <.0001

Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square 1 40913.4903 <.0001

Phi Coefficient 0.3230

Contingency Coefficient 0.3074

Cramer's V 0.3230

Sample Size = 852789
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The FREQ Procedure

Table of smoker by educ

smoker educ

Frequency‚

Percent ‚

Row Pct ‚

Col Pct ‚ 0‚ 1‚ Total

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

1 ‚ 215368 ‚ 228842 ‚ 444210

‚ 25.25 ‚ 26.83 ‚ 52.09

‚ 48.48 ‚ 51.52 ‚

‚ 53.89 ‚ 50.50 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

2 ‚ 86400 ‚ 125650 ‚ 212050

‚ 10.13 ‚ 14.73 ‚ 24.87

‚ 40.75 ‚ 59.25 ‚

‚ 21.62 ‚ 27.73 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

3 ‚ 97853 ‚ 98676 ‚ 196529

‚ 11.47 ‚ 11.57 ‚ 23.05

‚ 49.79 ‚ 50.21 ‚

‚ 24.49 ‚ 21.77 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

Total 399621 453168 852789

46.86 53.14 100.00

Statistics for Table of smoker by educ

Statistic DF Value Prob

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒ

Chi-Square 2 4332.0773 <.0001

Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square 2 4354.9116 <.0001

Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square 1 14.8542 0.0001

Phi Coefficient 0.0713

Contingency Coefficient 0.0711

Cramer's V 0.0713

Sample Size = 852789
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The FREQ Procedure

Table of smoker by death

smoker death

Frequency‚

Percent ‚

Row Pct ‚

Col Pct ‚ 0‚ 1‚ 2‚ Total

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

1 ‚ 426334 ‚ 17469 ‚ 407 ‚ 444210

‚ 49.99 ‚ 2.05 ‚ 0.05 ‚ 52.09

‚ 95.98 ‚ 3.93 ‚ 0.09 ‚

‚ 52.81 ‚ 42.65 ‚ 9.11 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

2 ‚ 198624 ‚ 12082 ‚ 1344 ‚ 212050

‚ 23.29 ‚ 1.42 ‚ 0.16 ‚ 24.87

‚ 93.67 ‚ 5.70 ‚ 0.63 ‚

‚ 24.60 ‚ 29.50 ‚ 30.09 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

3 ‚ 182408 ‚ 11405 ‚ 2716 ‚ 196529

‚ 21.39 ‚ 1.34 ‚ 0.32 ‚ 23.05

‚ 92.81 ‚ 5.80 ‚ 1.38 ‚

‚ 22.59 ‚ 27.85 ‚ 60.80 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

Total 807366 40956 4467 852789

94.67 4.80 0.52 100.00

Statistics for Table of smoker by death

Statistic DF Value Prob

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒ

Chi-Square 4 6033.6181 <.0001

Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square 4 6001.3621 <.0001

Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square 1 4562.8782 <.0001

Phi Coefficient 0.0841

Contingency Coefficient 0.0838

Cramer's V 0.0595

Sample Size = 852789

1. f

The TTEST Procedure

Difference: tobaccoexp - smoker

Frequency: count

N Mean Std Dev Std Err Minimum Maximum

408579 33.6850 24.8320 0.0388 -1.7500 272.5

Mean 95% CL Mean Std Dev 95% CL Std Dev

33.6850 33.6089 33.7612 24.8320 24.7782 24.8859

DF t Value Pr > |t|

408578 867.09 <.0001

1. g

Write a discussion of the results of your analyses including a description of the data and the results of the chi-square, t-test. All statistical significance should be assessed using an alpha level of 0.05.

The PROC FREQ procedure shows that there are 5 agegroups with 34.97% being the highest percentage out of the 5 agegroups. The higher percentage indicates that there are more individuals between the ages of 55 and 64. The percentage for education shows that there were more individuals that did not have an education compared to the individuals that did have an education. The percentage for gender shows that there are more individuals in the male category than there are in the female category. The percentage for death codes shows that there are more individuals that are alive than dead.

The chi-square test performed on the smoking groups shows that the chi-square p-value is smaller than alpha when alpha level is at .05 therefore there is a significant difference

among the three smoker groups with respect to age groups, sex, education, and death codes.

Similarly, the two-sample t-test performed on the tobacco exposure variable resulted in a p-value smaller than alpha when alpha level is at .05 therefore there is a significant difference in former and current smokers.

1. g

The FREQ Procedure

Cumulative Cumulative

antipsychotic Frequency Percent Frequency Percent

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒ

0 25 25.00 25 25.00

1 25 25.00 50 50.00

2 25 25.00 75 75.00

3 25 25.00 100 100.00

Cumulative Cumulative

numtp Frequency Percent Frequency Percent

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒ

1 2 2.00 2 2.00

4 3 3.00 5 5.00

6 95 95.00 100 100.00

Cumulative Cumulative

overallfrac Frequency Percent Frequency Percent

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒ

0 81 81.00 81 81.00

1 19 19.00 100 100.00

Cumulative Cumulative

overallpsych Frequency Percent Frequency Percent

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒ

0 93 93.00 93 93.00

1 7 7.00 100 100.00

2. h

The MEANS Procedure

Variable N Mean Std Dev Minimum Maximum

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒ

logstpamt 100 8.8120760 1.1070529 5.4850044 11.7669519

logsfpamt 93 7.5793775 1.5477937 3.2351428 11.4254449

logsppamt 100 6.9448983 1.1807021 3.3782699 9.8144525

logsrpamt 97 7.8630241 1.3076427 2.0541237 9.5884507

sqrtstpamt 100 94.2511551 50.9821652 15.5257850 359.0551350

sqrtsfpamt 100 53.6533855 45.1854822 0 302.6940204

sqrtsppamt 100 37.9236416 22.4030551 5.4147945 135.2637054

sqrtsrpamt 100 58.1860089 30.4154960 0 120.8107611

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒ

The MEANS Procedure

N

antipsychotic Obs Variable N Mean Std Dev Minimum Maximum

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒ

0 25 logstpamt 25 8.8719979 1.3928605 5.7920070 10.8319309

logsfpamt 23 8.1894274 1.2849904 5.0854335 10.3164263

logsppamt 25 7.0524641 1.4971375 3.3782699 9.3728163

logsrpamt 24 7.5933380 1.7177331 2.0541237 9.5884507

1 25 logstpamt 25 8.5500114 0.9286988 6.5458811 9.9271435

logsfpamt 24 6.9639471 1.7043353 3.2351428 9.2733330

logsppamt 25 6.6806050 1.1894542 4.3763858 8.7257135

logsrpamt 23 7.7481824 1.0877129 5.5298655 9.4362792

2 25 logstpamt 25 8.9612533 0.8958592 7.3568800 10.5613723

logsfpamt 23 7.4166430 1.6062128 4.7361984 9.8297581

logsppamt 25 6.9422745 0.9997553 5.6280527 9.1312582

logsrpamt 25 8.2562074 0.8914382 6.3989942 9.4953231

3 25 logstpamt 25 8.8650414 1.1614526 5.4850044 11.7669519

logsfpamt 23 7.7742504 1.3713761 4.6230101 11.4254449

logsppamt 25 7.1042495 0.9917067 5.2492847 9.8144525

logsrpamt 25 7.8343938 1.3731739 3.9243468 9.3229358

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒ

The FREQ Procedure

Table of antipsychotic by numtp

antipsychotic numtp

Frequency‚

Percent ‚

Row Pct ‚

Col Pct ‚1 ‚4 ‚6 ‚ Total

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

0 ‚ 1 ‚ 2 ‚ 22 ‚ 25

‚ 1.00 ‚ 2.00 ‚ 22.00 ‚ 25.00

‚ 4.00 ‚ 8.00 ‚ 88.00 ‚

‚ 50.00 ‚ 66.67 ‚ 23.16 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

1 ‚ 1 ‚ 1 ‚ 23 ‚ 25

‚ 1.00 ‚ 1.00 ‚ 23.00 ‚ 25.00

‚ 4.00 ‚ 4.00 ‚ 92.00 ‚

‚ 50.00 ‚ 33.33 ‚ 24.21 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

2 ‚ 0 ‚ 0 ‚ 25 ‚ 25

‚ 0.00 ‚ 0.00 ‚ 25.00 ‚ 25.00

‚ 0.00 ‚ 0.00 ‚ 100.00 ‚

‚ 0.00 ‚ 0.00 ‚ 26.32 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

3 ‚ 0 ‚ 0 ‚ 25 ‚ 25

‚ 0.00 ‚ 0.00 ‚ 25.00 ‚ 25.00

‚ 0.00 ‚ 0.00 ‚ 100.00 ‚

‚ 0.00 ‚ 0.00 ‚ 26.32 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

Total 2 3 95 100

2.00 3.00 95.00 100.00

Table of antipsychotic by overallfrac

antipsychotic overallfrac

Frequency‚

Percent ‚

Row Pct ‚

Col Pct ‚ 0‚ 1‚ Total

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

0 ‚ 23 ‚ 2 ‚ 25

‚ 23.00 ‚ 2.00 ‚ 25.00

‚ 92.00 ‚ 8.00 ‚

‚ 28.40 ‚ 10.53 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

1 ‚ 18 ‚ 7 ‚ 25

‚ 18.00 ‚ 7.00 ‚ 25.00

‚ 72.00 ‚ 28.00 ‚

‚ 22.22 ‚ 36.84 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

2 ‚ 21 ‚ 4 ‚ 25

‚ 21.00 ‚ 4.00 ‚ 25.00

‚ 84.00 ‚ 16.00 ‚

‚ 25.93 ‚ 21.05 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

3 ‚ 19 ‚ 6 ‚ 25

‚ 19.00 ‚ 6.00 ‚ 25.00

‚ 76.00 ‚ 24.00 ‚

‚ 23.46 ‚ 31.58 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

Total 81 19 100

81.00 19.00 100.00

Table of antipsychotic by overallpsych

antipsychotic overallpsych

Frequency‚

Percent ‚

Row Pct ‚

Col Pct ‚ 0‚ 1‚ Total

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

0 ‚ 25 ‚ 0 ‚ 25

‚ 25.00 ‚ 0.00 ‚ 25.00

‚ 100.00 ‚ 0.00 ‚

‚ 26.88 ‚ 0.00 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

1 ‚ 23 ‚ 2 ‚ 25

‚ 23.00 ‚ 2.00 ‚ 25.00

‚ 92.00 ‚ 8.00 ‚

‚ 24.73 ‚ 28.57 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

2 ‚ 23 ‚ 2 ‚ 25

‚ 23.00 ‚ 2.00 ‚ 25.00

‚ 92.00 ‚ 8.00 ‚

‚ 24.73 ‚ 28.57 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

3 ‚ 22 ‚ 3 ‚ 25

‚ 22.00 ‚ 3.00 ‚ 25.00

‚ 88.00 ‚ 12.00 ‚

‚ 23.66 ‚ 42.86 ‚

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒˆ

Total 93 7 100

93.00 7.00 100.00

Write a discussion of the results of your analyses including a description of the data overall and by the four level antipsychotic variables.

The PROC FREQ of the descriptive statistics shows that the percentage of non fractures are higher than the percentage of fractures. This indicates that there were more non fractures than there were any fractures at all. Also, the percentage of the number of prescriptions is the highest among the 6+ category. Looking at the tables created by the PROC FREQ procedure, the percentages within the 4 categories of the antipsychotic variable with respect to the overage fracture variable are higher when there have been zero fractures compared to when there have been any fractures. The same result is similar when observing the table that compares the antipsychotic category to the overall psych variable. Furthermore, the 6+ category of the number of prescriptions variable shows the highest percentages in comparison to the other categories (i.e. “1”, “2-3”, “3-4”, “4-5”). Specifically, six or more prescriptions are either atypical only or both atypical and conventional.

1. C

The FREQ Procedure

Cumulative Cumulative

diabwithketoa Frequency Percent Frequency Percent

ƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒƒ

1 280 100.00 280 100.00